

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/496,465	02/02/2000	Naoki Takahashi	500.36167CX1	6268	
20457	7590 07/21/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			POINVIL, FRANTZY	
SUITE 1800	)	- <del></del> -	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209-9889		3628		

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/496,465	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	41
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frantzy Poinvil	3628	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea	void abandonment of this applic ) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply ch places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Set 136(a) and the appropriate exter the final Office action; or (2	extension fee extension fee nsion fee under ) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🔲 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: 13-16.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) $\square$ disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>5-12-04</u> .	
10. Other:		FRANTZY POJ	NVIL AMERI

A43628

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Dworkin meets the language the purchaser or seller being either a seller or a purchaser. Other features of "storing in an open business....offered for purchase by the member site" has been discussed in the prior Office action.